Tecniche di Specifica e di Verifica

Modeling with Transition Systems

An example

The Dining Philosophers

- Possible problems:
 - *Deadlock*: system state where no action cen be taken (no transition possible)
 - *Livelock*: When system component is prevented to take any action, or a particular one (individual starvation)
 - *Starvation*: obvious.

Fairness

The Dining Philosophers

- Possible solution to deadlock:
 - pick up right fork only if both are present

Assumptions:

- *weak fairness*: any trans. continuously enabled,
 will eventually fire (eating philosophers will finish)
- *strong fairness*: any trans. enabled infinitely often, will eventually occur (if 2 fork available infinitely often, phil. will eat).

Livelock

The Dining Philosophers

- Possible solution:
 - *pick up fork only if both are present* Assumptions:
 - *strong fairness*: any trans. enabled infinitely often, will eventually occur (if 2 fork available infinitely often, phil. will eat).

strong fairness is not enough to prevent livelock

Why? Think of the case with 4 phil.!

Sol.(?): Try preventing consecutive eating.

Still suffers from *livelock* with 5 phil.! *Why*?

Outline

- The model Transition systems
- Some features
 - Paths
 - Computations
 - Branching
- First order representation

Transition systems

• A transition system (*Kripke structure*) is a structure

$$\mathbf{TS} = (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{R})$$

where:

- -S is a finite set of states.
- $-S_0 \subseteq S$ is the set of initial states.
- $\mathbf{R} \subseteq \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{S}$ is a transition relation
 - **R** must be total, that is
 - $-\forall s \in S \exists s' \in S . (s, s') \in R \text{ or, equivalently,}$
 - For every state s in S, there exists s' in S such that (s, s') is in R.

Notions and Notations

- $TS = (S, S_0, R)$
- $(s, s') \in \mathbb{R}$ $\mathbb{R}(s, s')$ $s \to s'$
- A (finite) *path* from **s** is a sequence

such that

$$-\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}_1$$

$$-\mathbf{s_i} \rightarrow \mathbf{s_{i+1}}$$
 for $0 < i < n$.

- It is from s to s' if $s_n = s'$.
- An infinite path from **s** is a sequence

Labeled transition systems

- Sometimes we may use a finite set of actions:
 Act = {a, b, ..}
- The actions will be used to label the transitions.
- $TS = (S, S_0, Act, R)$ - $R \subseteq S \times Act \times S$, labeled transitions.
- $(s, a, s') \in \mathbb{R} \mathbb{R}(s, a, s') s \xrightarrow{a} s'$

A vending machine











A non-total transition relation



State space

- The *state space* of a system (e.g. program) is the set of all possible states for it.
- For example, if **V**={**a**, **b**, **c**} and the variables are over the naturals, then the state space includes:

<a=0,b=0,c=0>,<a=1,b=0,c=0>,<a=1,b=1,c=0>,<a=932,b=5609,c=6658>...

Atomic transition

- Each *atomic transition* represents a small peace of code (or execution step), such that no smaller peace of code (or step) is observable.
- Is a:=a+1 atomic?
- In some systems, e.g., when a is a register and the transition is executed using an inc command.

(Non)Atomicity



The common framework

- Many systems need to be modeled.
 - Digital circuits
 - Synchronous
 - Asynchronous
 - Programs
- Strategy : Capture the main features using a logical framework (nothing to do with temporal logics!) : *First order representation*



The efficient way



A mod-8 counter



The mod-8 counter

- System variables : $v_2 v_1 v_0$
- Domain of $v_2 = \{0, 1\}$
- Same for v₁ and v₀
- Special case : These variables are boolean
- A state is a function which assigns to each variable a value in its domain.

•
$$s(v_0) = 0 \ s(v_1) = 1 \ s(v_2) = 1$$

• It is the state (1 1 0) !

State Predicates



A set of states can be picked out by a formula;

 $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{v}_2 \lor \mathbf{v}_0$ is the set {...}

State Predicates



A set of states can be picked out by a formula; $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{v}_2 \lor \mathbf{v}_0$ is the set {100, 101, 110, 111, 001, 011}

Initial States Predicate



A set of states can be picked out by a formula;

 $\mathbf{X'} = \neg \mathbf{v}_2 \land \neg \mathbf{v}_1 \land \neg \mathbf{v}_0$

Initial States Predicate



A set of states can be picked out by a formula;

 $\mathbf{X'} = \neg \mathbf{v}_2 \land \neg \mathbf{v}_1 \land \neg \mathbf{v}_0 \qquad \mathbf{X'} = \{ \mathbf{S}_0 \} = \{ 000 \}$



A set of transitions can also be picked out by a formula.

 $\mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2' = (\mathbf{v}_0 * \mathbf{v}_1) \oplus \mathbf{v}_2$ $\mathbf{v}_2 - \text{old value} \mathbf{v}_2' - \text{new value}$



A set of transitions can also be picked out by a formula.

 $\mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2' = (\mathbf{v}_0 \land \mathbf{v}_1) \oplus \mathbf{v}_2 \qquad \mathbf{v}_2 - \text{old value} \quad \mathbf{v}_2' - \text{new value}$ $\{\mathbf{t}_0, \mathbf{t}_1\} \subseteq \mathbf{R}_2$



 $\mathbf{T} = Formula(v_2, v_1, v_0, v_2', v_1', v_0')$

Not all formulas will define subsets of transitions.

You must pick the right formula.



But this is not a transition!



29

Summary of Predicates

- System variables $v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$.
- Each $\mathbf{v_i}$ has a domain of values
 - Boolean, {a,b,c,..}, {5,8,0,7}...
 - Each domain is required to be finite.
- A state is a function **s** which assigns to each system variable a value in its domain.
- The set of states is *finite*.

Summary

- Predicates can be used to pick out –succinctlysets of states (useful for identifying initial states).
- $\mathbf{X} = \text{Formula}(v_0, v_1, v_2, ..., v_n)$
- But this works only when all domains are boolean.
- In general Formula will be a first order formula.

Summary

- A set of transitions can also be picked out using predicates.
- $\mathbf{T} = \text{Formula}(v_0, v_1, ..., v_n, v_0', v_1'..., v_n')$
- **T** is the set of all transitions

$$(v_0, v_1, ..., v_n) \longrightarrow (v_0', v_1', ..., v_n')$$

such that Formula (above!) is satisfied.

• Not all (state or transition) formulas will be legitimate.

Why use formulas?

- Once and for all, say how to go from the "logical" description to Kripke structures.
- Once we have a Kripke structure, we are in business.
- We can use
 - temporal logics to specify properties
 - Model checking to verify these properties.

First Order Logic

- The general structure :
 - Syntax
 - Formulas
 - Semantics
 - When is a formula true ?
 - Models
 - Interpretations
 - Valuations

Syntax

- Terms
 - Variables
 - Functions symbols, constant symbols
- Atomic formulas
 - Relation symbols, equality, terms
- Formulas
 - Atomic formulas
 - Propositional connectives
 - Existential and universal quantifiers

Syntax

• (individual) variables --- **x**, **y**, **v**₃, **v**^{*},...

– System variables in our context

• Function symbols : **f**⁽ⁿ⁾

 $-\mathbf{n}$ is the arity of **f**.

– Add ⁽²⁾

- Next⁽¹⁾

• Function symbols will capture the functions used in the programs, circuits, ...
Constant symbols

- Apart from variables, it will also be convenient to have constant symbols. *zero*, *five*, ...
- Variables can be assigned different values but a constant symbol is assigned a fixed value.

Terms

- Terms are used to point at values.
- A variable is a term.
 - -x, v, v
- A constant symbol is a term.
- Suppose *f* is a function symbol of arity n and t₁, t₂, ..., t_n are terms then
 f(t₁, t₂,..., t_n) is also a term.

Terms

- Let Plus be a function symbol of arity 2.
- v₁, v₂, Plus(v₂, Plus(v₁, v₁)) are terms.
 the semantics of the last term is intuitively v₂ + 2v₁
- Let weird_op be a function symbol of arity 3
- Then

 $Plus(weird_op(v, Plus(v_1, v_2), five), Plus(v, v''))$ is a term.

Predicates

- Relation (predicate) symbols :
 - **P** which also has an arity
 - *Greater-Than* has arity 2
 - Prime has arity 1
 - *Middle* has arity 3 -- *Middle*($\mathbf{t}_1, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}_2$)
 - intuitively, **x** lies between **t**₁ and **t**₂
- *Equal* has arity 2
 - will be denoted as =
 - It is a "constant" relation symbol.

Atomic formulas.

• If t_1 and t_2 are terms then $=(t_1, t_2)$ is an atomic formula.

– also written $\mathbf{t}_1 = \mathbf{t}_2$

- Suppose *P* has arity **n** and **t**₁, **t**₂, ..., **t**_n are terms.
- Then $P(t_1, t_2, ..., t_n)$ is an atomic formula.

Atomic formulas

- Greater-Than(five, zero)
- Greater-Than(two, four)
- *Prime*(Plus(v₁, v''))
- Plus(v,Zero) = weird_op(v,v,four)
- v = Greater_Than(v₁,v₂) is not an atomic formula !

Terms and Predicates

- A term is meant to denote a value.
 - Makes no sense to talk about a term being true or false.
- An atomic formula may be true or false (depends on the interpretation).
 - Does not make sense to associate a value with an atomic formula.

Formulas

- Every atomic formula is a formula.
- If ϕ is a formula then $\neg \phi$ is a formula.
- If ϕ and ϕ ' are formulas then $\phi \lor \phi$ ' is a formula.
- $\phi \land \phi'$ abbreviates: $\neg(\neg \phi \lor \neg \phi')$
- $\phi \supset \phi'$ abbreviates : $\neg \phi \lor \phi'$
- $\varphi \equiv \varphi'$ abbreviates : $(\varphi \supset \varphi') \land (\varphi' \supset \varphi)$

Formulas

- If ϕ is a formula and **x** is a variable then $\exists x. \phi$ is a formula.
- $\forall \mathbf{x}. \boldsymbol{\phi}$ abbreviates : $\neg \exists \mathbf{x}. \neg \boldsymbol{\phi}$
- These are *existential* and *universal* quantifiers.
- The power of first order logic comes from these operators!

- Models :
 - -Domain of interpretation
 - -Interpretation
 - For the function, constant and relation symbols.
 - Fixed for all formulas.
 - For the individual variables, on a "per formula" basis.
 - Valuations.

• Domain

- Each variable will have its domain of values.
- We pretend all these domains are the same.
- Or rather, a big enough "universe" that will contain all these domains.
- Fix **D** the universe of values.

Interpretation function I

- Assign a concrete function to each function symbol (of the same arity!)
- Assign a concrete member of **D** to each constant symbol.
- Assign a concrete relation to each relation symbol (of the same arity!).

• **D** --- The set of integers.

• Plus
$$\xrightarrow{I}$$
 +

- Greater_Than $\xrightarrow{I} >$
- Zero $\xrightarrow{I} 0$
- weird_op $\xrightarrow{I} f$ where for each i, j, kf(i, j, k) = 2i + 3j - 17k

- Assume we have fixed an *interpretation* for all function symbols, constant symbols and relational symbols.
- Let ϕ be a formula. Fix a *valuation* V which assigns a member of **D** to each *variable*.
- \mathbf{V} : Variables $\longrightarrow \mathbf{D}$

- Let ϕ be a formula. Fix a valuation V which assigns a member of **D** to each variable.
- \mathbf{V} : Variables $\longrightarrow \mathbf{D}$
- This extends to a valuation **V_T** for **all terms**!
 - $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{v})$ if \mathbf{v} is a variable.
 - $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{d} \qquad \text{if } \mathbf{c} \text{ is a constant symbol and the} \\ \text{interpretation we have fixed assigns the value d to } \mathbf{c}.$

- Let ϕ be a formula. Fix a valuation V which assigns a member of **D** to each variable.
- \mathbf{V} : Variables $\longrightarrow \mathbf{D}$
- This extends to a valuation **V_T** for **all terms**!
 - Suppose f is of arity n and t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n are terms with $\mathbf{V}_T(t_1) = \mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{V}_T(t_n) = \mathbf{d}_n$.
 - Suppose f has been assigned the function F by our interpretation. Then
 - $\mathbf{V}_{1}(f(t_{1}, t_{2}, ..., t_{n})) = F(d_{1}, d_{2}, ..., d_{n}).$

- Let ϕ be a formula. Fix a valuation V which assigns a member of D to each variable.
- So we now have **V_T** that assigns a member of **D** each term.
- ϕ is satisfied under V (and the interpretation we have fixed for all formulas) if :
- suppose $P(t_1, t_2, ..., t_n)$ is an atomic formula and $V_T(t_1) = d_1, ..., V_T(t_n) = d_n$ and PCON is the relation assigned to P by our interpretation.

- Suppose *P(t1, t2,.., tn)* is an atomic formula and V_T(*t*₁) = d₁,V_T(*t*_n) = d_n and PCON is the relation assigned to *P* by our interpretation.
- Then $P(t_1, t_2, ..., t_n)$ is satisfied under V iff PCON($d_1, d_2, ..., d_n$) holds in **D**. $(d_1, d_2, ..., d_n) \in PCON \subseteq \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{D} \times ... \times \mathbf{D}$

- Suppose φ is of the form ¬φ'.
 then φ is satisfied under V iff φ' is not satisfied under V.
- Suppose φ is of the form φ₁ ∨ φ₂
 then φ is satisfied under V iff φ₁ is satisfied under V or φ₂ is satisfied under V.

- The only case left is when φ is of the form $\exists x. \varphi'$.
- φ is satisfied under V iff there is a valuation
 V' such that φ' is satisfied under V', and V' is required to meet the condition :
 - V' is exactly V for all variables except x.
 - for x , V' can assign any value in D of its choosing.

- Models :
 - **Domain** of interpretation
 - Interpretation
 - For the function, constant and relation symbols.
 - Fixed for all formulas.
 - For the individual variables, on a per formula basis.
 - Valuations.

- Assign a concrete function to each function symbol (of the same arity!)
- Assign a concrete member of **D** to each constant symbol.
- Assign a concrete relation to each relation symbol (of the same arity!).

- Assume we have fixed an interpretation for all function symbols, constant symbols and relational symbols.
- Let φ be a formula. Fix a valuation V which assigns a member of D to each variable.
- \mathbf{V} : Variables $\longrightarrow \mathbf{D}$

Lift V to All Terms

- We have :
 - An interpretation for the function symbols and constant symbols.
 - $-\mathbf{V}: \text{Variables} \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}$
- Using this, we can construct (uniquely!)
 V_T : Terms → D









- Let ϕ be a formula. Fix a valuation V which assigns a member of **D** to each variable.
- So we now have **V_T** that assigns a member of **D** each term.
- φ is satisfied under V (and the interpretation we have fixed for all formulas) if :

- Suppose $P(t_1, t_2, ..., t_n)$ is an atomic formula and $V_T(t_1) = d_1, ..., V_T(t_n) = d_n$ and PCON is the relation assigned to *P* by our interpretation.
- Then $P(t_1, t_2, ..., t_n)$ is satisfied under V iff PCON $(d_1, d_2, ..., d_n)$ holds in **D**. $(d_1, d_2, ..., d_n) \in PCON \subseteq \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{D} \times ... \times \mathbf{D}$

- Suppose φ is of the form $\neg \varphi$ '.
- Then ϕ is satisfied under V iff ϕ ' is not satisfied under V.
- Suppose φ is of the form $\varphi_1 \lor \varphi_2$
- Then φ is satisfied under V iff φ_1 is satisfied under V or φ_2 is satisfied under V.

 \mathbf{t}_2

• *Greater-Than*(Plus(v, 3), Multi(x, 2))

 t_1

- V(v) = 2 V(x) = 1
- $V_T(t_1) = 5 V_T(t_2) = 2$
- $(5, 2) \in \mathbb{Z}$ Integers \times Integers
- V'(v) = 1 V'(x) = 6
- Under V', the atomic formula is not true.

- The only case left is when φ is of the form
 ∃x.φ'
- φ is satisfied under V iff there is a valuation
 V' such that φ' is satisfied under V' and V' is required to meet the condition :
 - V' is exactly V for all variables except x.
 - For x , V' can assign any value of its choosing.

- Whether ∃x.φ is true or not under V
 does not depend on what V does on x !
- $\exists x. 2x = y$ is true under V(y) = 4V(x) = 1!
- Because, we can find V' with V'(y) = 4 but
 V'(x) = 2.
- One says **x** is bound in the formula and **y** is free.



First Order Representation to Transition Systems

- {**v**₁, **v**₂, ..., **v**_n}--- System variables.
- **D**₁, **D**₂, ..., **D**_n --- The corresponding domains.
- $\mathbf{D} = \bigcup \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{i}}$
- $s : \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\} \longrightarrow D$ such that $s(v_1) \in D_1 \dots$
- **S** --- The set of states.
Initial States

- $S_0(v_1, v_2, ..., v_n)$ is a FO formula describing the set of initial states.
- Atomic formula
 - -v = d where v is is a system variable and d is a constant symbol interpreted as a member of the domain of v.

Example:

- " S_0 is the set of all states where the pc = 0 and input is a power of 2"
- $\exists n. (input = EXP(n)) \land (pc = 0)$

Transition relation

- $R(v_1, v_2, ...v_n, v_1', v_2', ..., v_n')$ is a FO formula involving the variables $v_1, v_2, ...v_n$ (the system variables) and the new variables $(v_1', v_2', ..., v_n')$.
- $(\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, ..., \mathbf{d}_n) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{d}_1', \mathbf{d}_2', ..., \mathbf{d}_n')$ iff $R(v_1, v_2, ..., v_n, v_1', v_2', ..., v_n')$ is true under the valuation $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{d}_1, ..., \mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{d}_n, \mathbf{v}_1' = \mathbf{d}_1', ..., \mathbf{v}_n' = \mathbf{d}_n'.$

Transition Relation

- $V = \{x, y, z\}$
- Program : {x, y, z, pc}
 - l_0 : begin
 - l_1 : statement₁
 - l_2 : statement₂
 - $l_5: \text{ if even}(x) \text{ then } x = x/2 \text{ else } x = x-1$ $l_6: \dots$

Transition Relation

- $V = \{x, y, z\}$
- Program : {x, y, z, pc}
 l₅ : if even(x) then x = x/2 else x = x -1
 l₆ : ...
- φ (x, y, z, pc, x', y', z', pc')
- $\mathbf{pc} = \mathbf{l}_5 \land \mathbf{pc'} = \mathbf{l}_6 \land (\exists \mathbf{n}. (\mathbf{x} = 2\mathbf{n}) \supset \mathbf{x'} = \mathbf{x}/2) \land (\neg \exists \mathbf{n}. (\mathbf{x} = 2\mathbf{n}) \supset \mathbf{x'} = \mathbf{x}-1) \land \operatorname{same}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$

which is equivalent to

•
$$\mathbf{pc} = \mathbf{l}_5 \land \mathbf{pc'} = \mathbf{l}_6 \land$$

($(\exists \mathbf{n}.(\mathbf{x}=2\mathbf{n}) \land \mathbf{x'}=\mathbf{x}/2) \lor (\neg \exists \mathbf{n}.(\mathbf{x}=2\mathbf{n}) \land \mathbf{x'}=\mathbf{x}-1))) \land$
same(y, z)

• same(y, z) --- y' = y
$$\land$$
 z' = z

Transition Relation

- In a similar fashion, we can construct transition relation formulas for :
 - Assignment statement
 - While statements
 - etc.etc.
 - See the text book!

Kripke Structures

AP is a finite set of atomic propositions.
– "value of x is 5"

- "x = 5"

- $M = (S, S_0, R, L)$, a Kripke Structure.
 - $-(S, S_0, R)$ is a transition system.

$$-L:S \longrightarrow 2^{AP}$$

 -2^{AP} ---- The set of subsets of AP

Kripke Structures

- The atomic propositions and L together convert a transitions system into a model.
- We can start interpreting *formulas* over the *Kripke structure*.
- The atomic propositions make basic (easy) assertions about system states.

Automata and Kripke Structures

- AP set of elementary property
- <**S**,**A**,**R**,**s**₀,**L**>
- S set of states
- A set of transition labels
- $\mathbf{R} \subseteq \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{S}$ (labeled) transition relation
- L interpretation mapping L:S $\longrightarrow 2^{AP}$

Example: a print manager





- $S = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$
- $A = \{end_A, end_B, req_A, req_B, start_A, start_B\}$
- $R = \{(0, req_A, 1), (0, req_B, 2), (1, req_B, 3), (1, start_A, 6), (2, req_A, 3), (2, start_B, 7), (3, start_A, 5), (3, start_B, 4), (4, end_B, 1), (5, end_A, 2), (6, end_A, 0), (6, req_B, 5), (7, end_B, 0), (7, req_A, 4), \}$
- $L = \{0 \rightarrow \{R_A, R_B\}, 1 \rightarrow \{W_A, R_B\}, 2 \rightarrow \{R_A, W_B\}, 3 \rightarrow \{W_A, W_B\}, 4 \rightarrow \{W_A, P_B\}, 5 \rightarrow \{P_A, W_B\}, 6 \rightarrow \{P_A, R_B\}, 7 \rightarrow \{R_A, P_B\}\} \}_{82}$

Properties of the printing systems

- Every state in which P_A holds, is preceded by a state in which W_A holds
- In any state in which W_A holds is followed (possibly not immediately) by a state in which P_A holds.
- The first can easily be checked to be true
- The second is false (e.g. 0134134134...) in other words the system is *not fair*.

Synchronization

- Usually complex systems are composed of a number of smaller *subsystems* (*modules*)
- It is natural to model the whole system starting from the models of the subsystems.
- And then define how they cooperate.
- There are many ways to define cooperation (*synchronization*).

Synchronization: no interaction

- The system model is just the *cartesian product* of the simple modules.
- Let $TS_1, ..., TS_n$ be *n* automata (or TS), where $TS_i = \langle S_i, A_i, R_i, S_{i0} \rangle$

The system is then defined as $TS = \langle S, A, R, s_0 \rangle$ where

$$\begin{split} S &= S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_n \\ A &= A_1 \cup \{-\} \times A_2 \cup \{-\} \times \dots \times A_n \cup \{-\} \\ R &= \{((s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n), (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), (s'_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_n)) / \text{forall } i, \\ a_1 \neq - \text{ and } (s_i, a_i, s'_i) \in R_i, \text{ or } a_1 = - \text{ and } s'_i = s_i \} \\ s_0 &= (s_{10}, s_{20}, \dots, s_{n0}) \end{split}$$



Synchronization: interaction To allow for interaction, or synchronization on specific actions we can introduce a Synchronization Set (to inhibit undesired transitions):

• Synchronization set is just a subset of the composite actions:

 $Sync \subseteq A_1 \cup \{-\} \times A_2 \cup \{-\} \times \ldots \times A_n \cup \{-\}$

• Then we will have to define the possible transitions as:

$$R = \{ ((s_{1}, s_{2}, ..., s_{n}), (a_{1}, a_{2}, ..., a_{n}), (s'_{1}, s'_{2}, ..., s'_{n})) | \\ (a_{1}, a_{2}, ..., a_{n}) \in Sync \text{ and for all } i, \\ a_{1} \neq - \text{ and } (s_{i}, a_{i}, s'_{i}) \in R_{i}, \text{ or } a_{1} = - \text{ and } s'_{i} = s_{i} \}_{87}$$

Free synchronization (Asynchronous systems): Sync = {inc,-} × {inc,-}



88

Free synchronization





Synchronous systems

Synchronous systems: Sync = {(inc,inc)}

•
$$R(V,V') = \bigwedge_{i \in I} R_i(v_i,v_i')$$

Asynchronous systems with interleaving (only one component acts at any time): Sync = {(-,inc),(inc,-)}



Asynchronous systems: Interleaving

Asynchronous systems: $Sync = \{inc, -\} \times \{inc, -\}$

•
$$R(V,V') = \bigvee_{i \in I} (R_i(v_i,v_i') \land \bigwedge_{i \neq i} same(v_j))$$

- Many systems to be verified can be viewed as concurrent programs
 - operating system routines
 - cache protocols
 - communication protocols
- $P = cobegin (P_1 || P_2 || ... || P_n) coend$
- **P**₁, **P**₂,..**P**_n --- Sequential Programs.
- Usually interleaving semantics is assumed

Sequential Programs



Assignments







While statement



- $P = cobegin (P_1 || P_2 || ... || P_n) coend$
- **P**₁, **P**₂,..**P**_n --- Sequential Programs.



- $P = cobegin (P_1 || P_2 || ... || P_n) coend$
- P₁, P₂,..P_n --- Sequential Programs.
- C(l₁, P₁, l₁') --- The transitions of P₁ (defined inductively!).
- V_i ---- The set of variables of P_i.
- Programs may *share* variables !
- $\mathbf{pc_i}$ The program counter of $\mathbf{P_i}$.

- **pc** ---- the program counter of the concurrent program; it could be part of a larger program!
- \perp denotes the program counter value is *undefined*.

•
$$S_0(V, PC) = \operatorname{pre}(V) \wedge \operatorname{pc} = L \wedge$$

 $\operatorname{pc}_1 = \bot \wedge \dots \wedge \operatorname{pc}_n = \bot$

The Transition Predicate



$$(pc = L \land pc_{1}' = l_{1} \land \dots \land pc_{n}' = l_{n} \land pc' = \bot) \lor (pc = \bot \land pc_{1} = l_{1}' \land \dots \land pc_{n} = l_{n}' \land pc' = L' \land pc_{1}' = \bot \land \dots pc_{n}' = \bot) \lor (C(l_{1}, P_{1}, l_{1}') \land Same (V - V_{1}) \land Same(PC - \{pc_{1}\})) \lor \dots \\ C(l_{n}, P_{n}, l_{n}') \land Same (V - V_{n}) \land Same(PC - \{pc_{n}\}))$$

Summary

- System variables
- Domain of values
- States
- Initial state predicate
- Transition predicate
- pc values (for programs)