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ABSTRACT
Traceroute is probably the most famous computer networks diagnostic tool, widely adopted for both performance troubleshooting and research. Unfortunately, traceroute is not free of inaccuracies. In this poster, we present our ongoing work to address the inaccuracy caused by third-party addresses. We discuss the impact of third-party addresses on traceroute applications and present a novel active probing technique able to identify such addresses in traceroute traces. Finally, we detail preliminary results suggesting how this phenomenon has been largely underestimated.
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1. MOTIVATION AND SUMMARY
In the last decade many research works have used traceroute (or its variants) to infer network topological properties [5,8], and, more in general, in active monitoring approaches for anomaly detection, performance analysis, and geolocation. Traceroute is known to be affected by several inaccuracies that can produce errors or wrong assumptions when using its results to infer other information [7]: third-party (TP) addresses have been pointed out as an uncommon case mostly appearing at the border of multi-homed Autonomous Systems (ASes) [6].

To the best of our knowledge, the occurrence of TP addresses in IP paths has not been properly quantified and we believe that its impact has been largely underestimated. In this poster, to shed light on this topic, we propose a novel active probing technique based on the IP prespecified timestamp option: it allows to directly detect the presence of TP addresses in traceroute IP paths. We present a preliminary analysis conducted on about 13 K traceroute traces collected from a single vantage point. The analysis confirms the potentiality of our technique and unveils an unexpected amount of TP addresses. Finally, by performing IP-to-AS mapping on our dataset, we quantify the amount of potentially fake AS links due to TP addresses.
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associated to the prespecified address [4]. Suppose IPx owned by one of these devices and belonging to a traceroute path. In order to understand if IPx is a TP address, we target the traceroute destination with an UDP probe\(^1\) equipped with a TS option, in which IPx is prespecified four times: if the TS option brought back into the payload of the ICMP PORT UNREACH message contains at least one timestamp, according to the behavior described above, IPx belongs to the actual IP path\(^2\), otherwise IPx is a TP address. To be able to distinguish between routers reporting a TP address and ones not implementing the TS option, after collecting a traceroute path, we first directly probe each discovered hop IPy with an ICMP ECHO REQUEST message in which IPy is prespecified: this step allows to identify the set of IP addresses classifiable by our approach. The proposed approach would allow to avoid complex heuristics for subnet positioning in Tracenet [10] and to take into account path fluctuations affecting the Palmtree [9] alias resolution technique.

4. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

To evaluate the proposed approach, we randomly selected 50K destinations among the ones showing stable responsiveness according to the PREDICT project [1]. About 13K destinations replied to UDP probes carrying the TS option. Focusing our attention on this subset, we launched a traceroute campaign, from our laboratory at University of Napoli, collecting a final dataset of 32K traces. Fig. 2(a) shows the percentage of hops classifiable in each trace: all the hops resulted classifiable in 26% of traces. In general, the proposed approach showed a promising level of applicability: on average and in the worst case, we were able to classify 80% and 38% of hops per trace, respectively. Furthermore, it classified 2.5 K IP\(^3\)s (7.8%) as TP addresses in at least one trace. Such value appears surprisingly high, suggesting that TP addresses are not so uncommon as previously hypothesized [6]. Fig. 2(b) compares, for each trace, the number of addresses classified as TP or associated to incoming interfaces (IN) and shows how in 324 traces (2.43% of the total) we found more TP addresses than IN IPs.

As shown in Fig. 3, a single TP address may affect several traces. The two most common TP addresses appeared respectively in 7.3 K and 5.2 K traces, essentially because they were located close to the vantage point: on average, a TP address appeared in 7.8 traces.

Finally, mapping each address to the owner AS [3], we found that our dataset covers 1.9 K distinct ASes. By considering two consecutive hops in a traceroute path mapped to distinct ASes as a potential AS link, we extracted about 2 K AS links. Among these, 527 (26%) involved TP addresses, thus being potential source of inaccuracies according to the scenario depicted in Fig. 1. Although not necessarily all these links were false, such high percentage suggests that TP addresses may represent a significant cause of AS maps distortion. Our approach allows to identify the subset of links to carefully consider when the objective is to draw the AS level map exploiting the information obtained with traceroute.

5. ONGOING AND FUTURE WORK

This analysis represents a first snapshot of an ongoing research work. We are extending our study in different ways: (i) adopting different probes to obtain a wider support; (ii) using a larger dataset, to better evaluate the applicability of our technique; (iii) exploiting multiple vantage points, to detect when IP\(^\circ\)s act as incoming and TP addresses. (iv) deepening the TP addresses impact on AS level topology exploiting ground truth. We plan to implement and release to the research community a modified traceroute version able to automatically discover the IP path and label IP\(^\circ\)s as TP address.
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