



# Design Guidelines for High Capacity Innovative Regional Turboprop Aircraft

F. Nicolosi,<sup>1</sup> S. Corcione,<sup>2</sup> V. Trifari,<sup>3</sup> P. Della Vecchia,<sup>4</sup> A. De Marco<sup>5</sup>.  
*University of Naples Federico II, Naples, 80125, Italy*

This paper deals with the Multi-Disciplinary Analysis and Optimization (MDAO) of an innovative high capacity regional turboprop aircraft. To cope with the Top-Level Aircraft Requirements (TLARs), different design solutions have been analyzed and compared in terms of weights, balance, aerodynamics, performance, emissions and Direct Operating Costs (DOC). Response surfaces and Pareto fronts have been generated for each aircraft configuration assuming different values of lifting surfaces and geometrical design parameters. Optimal solutions have been selected from Pareto fronts according to specific performance, emissions and DOC objective functions. Those have been compared to illustrate relative benefits and drawbacks.

This kind of innovative regional platform is supposed to be competitive on the short/medium range with regional jets. A regional jet similar to the Airbus A220 has been chosen as the reference regional jet aircraft to which compare all optima configuration coming from the MDAO process. Comparisons have been made in terms of block fuel, block time and DOC. The three-lifting surfaces configuration has been identified as the most promising choice for the higher gain in terms of block fuel and direct operative costs.

## Nomenclature

$AR_C$	= Canard Aspect Ratio	$MTOW$	= Maximum Take-Off Weight
$AR_H$	= Horizontal tail Aspect Ratio	$MLW$	= Maximum Landing Weight
$AR_w$	= Wing Aspect Ratio	$OEW$	= aircraft Operative Empty Weight
$b_C$	= Canard span	$RJ$	= Regional Jet
$b_H$	= Horizontal tail span	$S.S.M.$	= longitudinal Static Stability Margin
$BPR$	= engine By-Pass Ratio	$SAR$	= Specific Air Range
$b_w$	= Wing span	$S_C$	= Canard area
$CG$	= Center of Gravity	$S_H$	= Horizontal tail area
$C_{Lmax}$	= Max. Lift Coefficient at stall condition	$S_V$	= Vertical tail area
$D_f$	= Fuselage maximum diameter	$S_w$	= Wing area
$DOC$	= Direct Operative Costs	$T_0$	= engine maximum static thrust
$E_{cr}$	= Aircraft aerodynamic cruise efficiency	$TLAR$	= Top Level Aircraft Requirements
$i_C$	= Canard incidence angle	$TO$	= Take-Off
$i_H$	= Horizontal tail-plane incidence angle	$TOFL$	= Take-Off Field Length
$L_f$	= Fuselage length	$TP$	= Turboprop
$LFL$	= Landing Field Length	$TSFC$	= Thrust Specific Fuel Consumption
$LND$	= Landing	$W_{TO}$	= Maximum Take-Off Weight
$MAC$	= Wing Mean Aerodynamic Chord	$X_{LEC}$	= Canard apex long. position
$M_{CR}$	= cruise Mach number	$X_{LEH}$	= Horizontal tail apex long. position
$MDAO$	= Multi-Disc. Analysis and Optimization	$X_{LEw}$	= Wing apex long. position

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor, Dept. of Industrial Engineering, fabrizio.nicolosi@unina.it, AIAA Member

<sup>2</sup> Post-Doctoral Researcher, Dept. of Industrial Engineering, salvatore.corcione@unina.it

<sup>3</sup> PhD Student, Dept. of Industrial Engineering, vittorio.trifari@unina.it

<sup>4</sup> Assistant Professor, Dept. of Industrial Engineering, pierluigi.dellavecchia@unina.it

<sup>5</sup> Assistant Professor, Dept. of Industrial Engineering, agodemar@unina.it