Web Pages Classification using Concept Analysis







Porfirio Tramontana Anna Rita Fasolino Dipartimento di Informatica e Sistemistica University of Naples Federico II, Italy

Giuseppe A. Di Lucca RCOST – Research Centre on Software Technology University of Sannio, Benevento, Italy

The Context

- With the diffusion of new paradigms and technological solutions for the Web (RIA and Ajax, SOA, ...), existing Web Applications are rapidly become legacy
- A strategic objective: integrating that existing applications with the new platforms
- An open issue: defining effective approaches for analysing and classifying the Web Applications User Interface

Automatic Web Pages Classification

Web Applications interact with users by means of Client Pages that are dynamically generated

 Given a classification of Built Client pages in a set of equivalent classes

 ... classes may correspond to the different reached Web Application scenarios

… classes may correspond to the different results of the execution of test cases

■ The problem:

To propose a technique for the automatic identification of the equivalence class a Web page belongs to

A possible application scenario

Designing wrappers that encapsulate the original UI with the aim of exporting a renewed interface, such as a Web Service one

- The possible reached scenarios represent the classes to recognise.
- The Wrapper has to automatically identify the class in order to know the state of the interaction with the UI and the actions to be performed



G. Di Lorenzo, A. R. Fasolino, L. Melcarne, P. Tramontana, V. Vittorini, *"Turning Web Applications into Web Services by Wrapping* Web Pages/Wassfrigation/withod/Ob/WebingAgalystence/08/Re2007 Englagisring4/MCR20077, Vancouver, BC, Canada

4

The proposed solution

To find, for any class, a combination of Web page features for any class that are satisfied just by pages belonging to that class (Classification model)

We are interested to a solution that is:

Accurate

The classification approach should be very reliable, in order to support automatic tasks

Efficient

The classification approach should support a fast classification of dynamic built client pages

The proposed approach

Three step iterative process:

- Feature Candidature
 - Generation of a set of features, representing page characteristics
- 2. Feature Reduction
 - Proposition of a combination of the candidate features allowing the identification of the class of any Web page
- B. Feature Validation
 - Evaluation of the accurateness of the proposed classification model (i.e. the set of discriminating expressions)



The resulting classification model allows a Classifier module to automatically determine the class any Web page belongs to

1 - Feature Candidature

W3C Supporters

the W3C Supporters Program

Mobile Web Initiative

Seeking position papers for

the Workshop on Mobile

Ajaz, 28 September in Santa Clara, CA (USA). Papers are due 31

Web Initiative, making Web access from

August. Read more about the Mobile

a mobile device as simple, easy, and

Current job opportunities at W3C are

HTML and WebAPI specialist, Web

Accessibility and Ageing Consultant,

W3C Fellows Program openings are

Communications Specialist, Web /

Business and Technology

Accessibility

Amava

Accessibility and Ageing Specialist, Web

and Web Accessibility Engineer. Current

Graphic Designer, and Software Engineer

convenient as Web access from a

desktop device. Become an MWI

sponsor.

Employment

- A feature is a characteristic retrievable in a Web page interface
 - It is retrievable by analysing its HTML code
 - A feature can be associated to a XPath query (if the HTML code is XHTML-compatible)

A set of features that could be useful for discriminating a class are proposed

Ideally speaking, we search for features that are retrievable just the in pages belonging to a class

//a/text() = "Activities"

WORLD WIDE WER

Leading the Web to Its Full Potential...

Activities | Technical Reports | Site Index | New Visitors | About W3C | Join W3C | Contact W3C

The World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) develops interoperable technologies (specifications, guidelines, software, and tools) to lead the Web to its full potential W3C is a forum for information, commerce, communication, and collective understanding. On this page, you'll find W3C news, links to W3C technologies and ways to get involved. New visitors can find help in Finding Your Way at W3C. We encourage organizations to learn more about W3C and about W3C Membership.

News Help W3C by making a donation through Web Services Policy 1.5 Is a W3C

Recommendation

2007-09-04: The World Wide Web Consortium today released Web Services Policy 1.5 as a Recommendation. The Policy Framework defines a model for expressing the nature of Web services in order to convey conditions for their interaction. Attachment defines how to associate policies, for example within WSDL or UDDI, with subjects to which they apply. Read the press release, the testimonials and about the Web Services Policy Working Group and Web services. (Permalink)

Web Services Addressing Metadata Is a W3C Recommendation

2007-09-04: The World Wide Web Consortium today released Web Services Addressing 1.0 - Metadata as a Recommendation. The specification is used to indicate support for Web Services Addressing 1.0 using Web Services Policy 1.5 and defines how to express WS-Addressing properties in WSDL. Read about the Web Services Addressing Working Group and about Web Services. (Permalink)

Google Search W3C Go Search W3C Mailin / Lists Deutsche Teekom AG. T-Com ····· T···Or line-With 13+ million registered customers and an exter ive product portfolio, Deutsche T lekom is a major player in the European hternet market, and is also an active pericipant in W3C activities. Deutsch Telekom's Internet Media Technology - using open standards allow for flexibility and speed in adap ng new technologies. (Member test nonials) ∦ ₂mbers

Search

//h1/imc

- Member Home Page
- Member Submissions Current Members
- /html/body/div[4]/form

2 - Feature Reduction

The goal of the Feature Reduction step is to obtain a Discriminating Expression for each class, i.e. a combination of features that is true for each Web page belonging to the class, false elsewhere

The Feature Reduction is executed on the set of candidate features
 A training set of pre-classified pages is adopted

Evaluation Matrix



Class C1={P1, P3} Class C2={P2, P4} Class C3={P5, P6, P7}

The features with all true (or false) values are deleted: they surely are not useful to discriminate Classes

Concept Analysis

• Concept Analysis is adopted to find features that characterise classes of equivalent pages

• A Concept Lattice may be automatically built on the basis of the Evaluation Matrix values (evaluated on the Training Set)



Attributes = Candidate Features

Objects = **Training Set Pages**

Feature Classification

According to Eisenbarth, Koschke and Simon*, features are classified, with respect to classes as:

Specific, if it is satisfied by all and only the pages of the class C;

• Relevant: if it is satisfied by all the pages of the class C, but also by other pages from other classes;

• CSPC (i.e., Conditionally Specific): if it is satisfied by a subset of pages of the class C and by no other page from other classes;

 Shared: if it is satisfied by a subset of pages of the class C and by other pages from other classes too;

• Irrelevant: if it is not satisfied by any page of the classes C.

* T. Eisenbarth, R. Koschke, D. Simon, "Locating features in source code", IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, Volume 29, Issue 3, IEEE CS Press, March 2003, pp.210 – 224
 Web Pages Classification using Concept Analysis - ICSM 2007 – Paris – 4/10/2007



11



For the class Successful Login

- Logout is Specific
- Not(Incorrect) is Relevant
- AdminLogged is CSPC
- Not(AdminLogged) is Shared
- Login is Irrelevant

Feature Classification Evaluation

The Classification of the features may be evaluated on the basis of the Concept Analysis results:

 $Specific (C) = \bigcap_{p \in C} Intent (OC(p)) - \bigcup_{p \in TS - C} Intent (OC(p))$ Re $levant (C) = \bigcap_{p \in C} Intent (OC(p)) - Specific (C)$ $CSPC (C) = \bigcup_{p \in C} Intent (OC(p)) - \bigcup_{p \in TS - C} Intent (OC(p)) - Specific (C)$ $Shared (C) = \bigcup_{p \in C} Intent (OC(p)) - Specific (C) - Re \ levant (C) - CSPC (C)$ $Irrelevant (C) = F - Specific (C) - Re \ levant (C) - CSPC (C) - Shared (C)$

Rules for generating Discriminating Expressions

• Our goal is to obtain combinations of features (*Discriminating Expressions*) that are *specific* for a single class, i.e. that are true for any page belonging to the class and false elsewhere

- 1. If the class C includes at least one specific feature, any of these specific features can be a candidate discriminating expression of the class.
- 2. If there are not specific features, then a candidate discriminating expression can be obtained by considering the logic and of the relevant features
 - If there is a couple of features f1 and f2 so that f1==> f2(i.e. Extent(AC(f1)) Extent(AC(f2))), then it is possible to simplify the expression by discarding the feature f2 from it.



- If there are neither specific nor relevant features, then a candidate discriminating expression can be obtained by considering the logic or of the CSPC features
 - If there is a couple of features f1 and f2 so that f1==>f2, it is possible to simplify the expression by discarding the feature f1 from it .

3 - Discriminating Expression Validation

Recall And Precision:

 $Re\,call = \frac{\#(pagesAttributedToClassC)}{\#(AnalysedPagesBelongingToClassC)}$

 $Precision = \frac{\#(pagesCorrectlyAttributedToClassC)}{\#(pagesAttributedToClassC)}$

Failed Classification: the page class has not been identified, because no discriminating feature (or more than one) has been satisfied by the page;

- Incorrect Classification: the page has been attributed to an incorrect class;
- Correct Classification: the page has been attributed to the correct class

A Classification Example

• Web Pages related to the AdminBooks page of the open source Web application Bookstore has been considered

- Five classes of Web pages
- 25 Web pages training set
- 65 Web pages test set

	Elenth.			
	Books			
Title Programming Part (2nd Editors) Part and CGI for the West West West Visual	Lary Well, Ton Distances, Jan Dreet	Erica 30 W	Constants Programming	Transmission in the
Deck/Dart Durle PHP and MySOL Web Development MySOL & PHP From Scratch Web Arelia date Development with PHP & E built	EScaleth Centre Luke Welling, Laure Tremean Waie Stanfard	15.19 39.99 23.99	Programming Programming Programming	No No Yan
CD-ROM Dack Dat Web Programming Methods, Seners, Security Databases and Sites	Tabas Ratuchiler, Ta Getan	30	Proparating	Yes
Web Development with Jaco Samer Pages Protectional Jaco Samer Programming JDEE Editor Machineg Califfundin 4.6	Duare K. Fieldy, Mark A. Kisto e. Wise Multi Team Aman Danesh, Kredin Alean Mistagh, Kristin Mistagh	23	Proparineg Programming Programming	24 24 24
Teach Yoursell ColdFusion in 21 Days (Teach Yoursell - 21 Days)	Challes Mittelie	31.90	Propanning	744
ColdFusion Fast & Easy Web Development Beginning Active Samer Pages 3.0 C# - Programming with the Public Bate	T. C., III Bradley Devid Buser, Chris Ulman, Jim Duckett* Buten Harrey, Gener, Robinson, Julien Tempteman,	19.99 31.99 34.09	Proparries Proparries Proparries	-
Web Database Development: Day by Dep MySOL (UTHER NEW REERS) MySOL and HSOL	Jan Buyens Paul Dulloin Randy Jay Yarger, George Reese, Tan Hing	39.09 39.09 27.96	Databases Databases Databases	Yao No Yao
Expiring ADP Detabases	Jahn Kaubnan, Theamn Willer, David Dunan, Klevin Tipencer, kauftman, John Kauftman		Detelenes	Yes
Oraclab Visit Development	Bradley D. Broan, Brail Broan.	41.98	Databases HTML & Web	84
	Uped J. Emberton, J. Scott Hanser, Uped Emberton		Secilar.	760









This dynamic site was generated with CodeCharge



First Page

A Classification Example

		Flickr: Discussing	volo (11 foto) VOTAZIONI in Sfide PhotoA	natori				4	
Shapto Rag	tati gori		Bool	e kStore	Home	Registration	Shopping Cart	Sign In	Administration
	<mark>Edit <u>Tit</u> Edit HT Gu</mark>	Category All	Recom	mended All 💌 Search]				
	Edit 100 Edit II L Edit II c Edit 10 Edit Po Edit Sip	<mark>Edit <u>Title</u> <u>Edit</u> II gattopardo <u>Add New</u> <u>Pre</u></mark>	Books Author Pri Tomasi di lampedusa 15 evious [3] Next	<mark>ce <u>Category</u> Recommendo</mark> Giallo No	ed				
	<u>Edit</u> IIc <u>Edit</u> Ide <u>Edit</u> De <u>Edit</u> IIm Edit Sh			<u>Home</u> <u>Registration</u> <u>S</u> This dynamic site	hopping was ge	<u>a Cart</u> <u>Sign In</u> Ad merated with <u>Co</u> r	Iministration deCharge		
	Edit lou Edit lig Edit lis			<u>Home Registration Shopping C</u> This dynamic site was gene	Cart <u>Sign</u> erated wit	In Administration th <u>CodeCharge</u>		_	
	Edit I di Edit A cia Edit II can Edit Nero Edit II pad Add New	iscuno il suo ie di terracotta Wolfe Irino <u>Previous [2] Next</u>	L. Sciaso A. Camill Rex Stou M. puzo	ia eri t	5 5 10 20	Giallo Giallo Giallo Giallo	No No No		
			<u>Home Registration</u> This dynamic site	<u>Shopping Cart Sign In Administration</u> was generated with <u>CodeCharge</u>					
			This dynamic site was Gentr FITS	Page 4	Ĵ	152	•		

Candidate features

	Candidate Features					
	-First iteration-					
ld	Description	XPath Expression				
	Search Form (absolute)	/html/body/table[1]/tbody/tr[1]/td[1]/form[1]				
f2	Search Form (relative)	//form[1]				
f3	Table with Books (absolute)	/html/body/table[2]/tbody/tr[1]/td[1]/table[1]/t body/tr[11/ta[1]/a[1]/font/text()="Books"				
f4	Table with Booke (relative)	//table//text()="Books"				
f5	Word "Next" (absolute)	/html/body/table[2]/tbody/tr[1]/td[1]/table[1]/t body/tr[23]/td[1]/font/a[3]/font/text()="Next"				
f6	Word "Ne×t" (relative)	//text()="Next"				
f7	Word "Previous" (absolute)	/html/body/table[2]/tbody/tr[1]/td[1]/table[1]/t body/tr[23]/td[1]/font/a[2]/font/text()="Previou s"				
f8	Word "Pre∨ious" (relati∨e)	//text()="Previous"				

- 8 candidate features have been considered
- f1, f2, f3, f4 have been discarded (always true)

Negated features have been introduced

Classification of Candidate features						
	First Page	Central Page	Last Page	Single page	Empty List	
Specific						
Relevant	<i>f5, f6,</i>	<i>f</i> 5, <i>f</i> 6,	f5, f6,	$\overline{f5}, \overline{f6},$	$\overline{f5}, \overline{f6},$	
	<i>f</i> 7, <i>f</i> 8	<i>f</i> 7, <i>f</i> 8	<u>77</u> ,ƒ8	<u>7</u> 7, 7 8	<u>7</u> 7, 7 8	
CSPC						
Shared						
lrrele∨ant	<u>75, 76,</u> <u>77, 7</u> 8	<u>75, 76,</u> <u>77, 7</u> 8	<u>7</u> 5, <u>7</u> 6, ƒ7, <u>7</u> 8	f5, f6, f7, f8	f5, f6, f7, f8	

Discriminating Expressions – First iteration

Candidate Discriminating Expressions -First iteration-						
Class Discriminating Recall Precisio Expression						
First Page	f7	5/5	5/10			
Central Page	<i>f</i> 7	5/5	5/10			
Last Page	$\overline{f7}$ AND f8	5/5	5/5			
Single Page	$\overline{f7}$	5/5	5/10			
Empty List	<u>7</u> 7	5/5	5/10			

"Next" //html/body/table[2]/tbody/tr[1]/td[1]/ta

ef]/font/text()="Next"

"Previous" /html/body/table[2]/tbody/tr[1]/td[1]/ta

efl/font/text()="Previous"

"Next" //a[@href]//text()="Next"

"Previous" //a[@href]//text()="Previous"

Text "No records" /html/body/table[2]/tbody/tr[1]/td[1]/ta

records"

Text "No records" //text()="No records"

ble[1]/tbody/tr[23]/td[1]/font/a[3][@hr

ble[1]/tbody/tr[23]/td[1]/font/a[2][@hr

ble[1]/tbody/tr[3]/td[1]/font/text()="No

f9

f10

f11

f12

f13

f14

Link

Link

Link " (absolute)

Link (relative)

(absolute)

(relative)

(absolute)

(relative)

Training Set Validation

There is not enough precision!

A new iteration of feature candidature is needed New features have been added:

- We need to distinguish when the words "Next" and "Previous" are links or simple labels
- We need to
 distinguish between empty page and single page

Discriminating Expressions – Second Iteration

Class	Discriminating Expressions	Trainir	ng Set	Test Set		
		Recall	Prec.	Recall	Prec.	
First Page	$\overline{f^{12}}$ AND f^7	5/5	5/5	16/16	16/16	
Central Page	<i>f</i> 11	5/5	5/5	11/11	11/12	
Last Page	f12 AND 77	5/5	5/5	14/15	14/14	
Single Page	$\overline{f^{14}}$ AND $\overline{f^8}$	5/5	5/5	14/14	14/14	
Empty List	<i>f</i> 14	5/5	5/5	9/9	9/9	

Training Set Validation is OK but there are some problems in a page belonging to the Test Set (assigned to Central page instead of Last Page)

The incorrect-assigned page has been added to the Training Set and the Candidate Discriminating Expressions have been evaluated

Class	Discriminating Expression				
First Page	$\overline{f^{12}}$ AND f^7				
Central Page	f11 AND f10	Modified			
Last Page	f12 AND f10	Expressions			
Single Page	<u>f14</u> AND <u>f</u> 8	•			
Empty List	<i>f</i> 14				

Recall and Precision are, now, 100% both on the Training Set and on the Test Set

Discussion

Process Effort

- In the case study, about 100 minutes were needed to obtain the classification model
- The activities requiring human intervention was the most critical

Training and Test Set Collection step

- The reliability of the classification model depends on the representativeness of the Training and Test Sets
- Web pages resulting from the execution of a Test Suite may be good candidates to fill in the Training and the Test Set
- Feature Candidature step
 - Heuristic techniques allowing a semi-automatic generation of features are currently under experimentation

Further application scenarios

Support to testing automation

- The possible reached pages are arranged in a set of equivalence classes, corresponding to different test results
- The proposed discriminating expressions make it possible the automatic evaluation of the testing results

Support to dynamic analysis

The recognition of the reached interaction scenarios makes it possible the automatic collection of logs of the visited use cases and scenarios, providing also useful information for user profiling

Conclusions and Future Works

A process for the definition of a classification model allowing the automatic identification of classes of Built Client pages has been defined and validated
 The classification model allows the run-time identification of the classification of a Built Client page, with short response time and high precision

Future Works:

- We are working for the process improvement, in order to reduce the effort needed to human made tasks
- Further experimentation will be carried out in order to assess the scalability of the approach

Time is over ... Are there any questions?