

Asymptotics for some nonlinear elliptic systems in Maxwell-Chern-Simons vortex theory

Tonia Ricciardi*

Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni

Università di Napoli Federico II

Via Cintia

80126 Naples, Italy

fax: +39 081 675665

e-mail: tonia.ricciardi@unina.it

Abstract

We provide a unified proof of the asymptotics of the self-dual Maxwell-Chern-Simons vortices, as the Maxwell term is neglected, in both the $U(1)$ and $CP(1)$ case. This result is achieved by identifying and analyzing a suitable class of nonlinear elliptic systems with exponential type nonlinearities.

KEY WORDS: nonlinear elliptic system, Chern-Simons vortex theory

MCS 2000 SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 35J60

1 Introduction and main result

The vortex solutions for the $U(1)$ Maxwell-Chern-Simons model introduced in [9], correspond to (distributional) solutions (\tilde{u}, v) for the system:

$$(1) \quad -\Delta \tilde{u} = \varepsilon^{-1}(v - e^{\tilde{u}}) - 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{p_j} \quad \text{on } \Sigma$$

$$(2) \quad -\Delta v = \varepsilon^{-1} \left\{ e^{\tilde{u}}(1 - v) - \varepsilon^{-1}(v - e^{\tilde{u}}) \right\} \quad \text{on } \Sigma,$$

where Σ is a compact Riemannian 2-manifold without boundary, $n \geq 0$ is an integer, $p_j \in \Sigma$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$, Δ denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator and $\varepsilon > 0$ a constant. We shall be interested in the asymptotic behavior of solutions when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Physically, $e^{\tilde{u}}$ represents a *density* of particles; it vanishes exactly at the points p_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$ (the *vortex points*). The function v is a neutral scalar field and $\varepsilon > 0$ is the coupling constant for the Maxwell term. In particular, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to dropping the Maxwell term in the Lagrangian.

The limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ is meaningful in view of the following

*Partially supported by PRIN 2000 "Variational Methods and Nonlinear Differential Equations"

Theorem 1.1 ([13]). *If $\varepsilon 4\pi n/|\Sigma|$ is sufficiently small, then there exist at least two solutions for (1)–(2).*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is variational. The two solutions are obtained as a local minimum and a mountain pass for a suitable functional. We refer to [13] for the detailed proof.

By a formal analysis of (1)–(2), we expect that as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, e^u should converge to a solution u_∞ for the equation

$$(3) \quad -\Delta u_\infty = e^{u_\infty}(1 - e^{u_\infty}) - 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{p_j} \quad \text{on } \Sigma.$$

We observe that solutions for (3) correspond to vortex solutions for the Chern-Simons model introduced in [7] and [6]. In [11] we provided a rigorous proof of this formal argument, in any relevant norm. Namely, we showed

Theorem 1.2 ([11]). *Suppose (u, v) are (distributional) solutions for (1)–(2) with $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then there exists a solution u_∞ for the equation (3) such that, up to subsequences, $(e^{\tilde{u}}, v) \rightarrow (e^{u_\infty}, e^{u_\infty})$ in $C^h(\Sigma) \times C^h(\Sigma)$, for any $h \geq 0$.*

Note that $e^{\tilde{u}}, e^{u_\infty}$ are smooth. Theorem 1.2 completed our previous convergence result obtained with Tarantello [13], where the asymptotics for v was established in the L^2 -sense only. See also Chae and Kim [2].

At this point it is natural to seek a more general class of systems which exhibit an asymptotic behavior as in Theorem 1.2. A further motivation to this question is provided by the analysis of the $CP(1)$ Maxwell-Chern-Simons model in [3]. In [3] the authors analyze an elliptic system, whose solutions correspond to vortex solutions for the self-dual $CP(1)$ Maxwell-Chern-Simons model introduced in [4]. Their system (in a special case) is given by:

$$(4) \quad \Delta U = 2Q(-V + S - \frac{1 - e^U}{1 + e^U}) + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{p_j} \quad \text{on } \Sigma$$

$$(5) \quad \Delta V = -4Q^2(-V + S - \frac{1 - e^U}{1 + e^U}) + Q \frac{4e^U}{(1 + e^U)^2} V \quad \text{on } \Sigma$$

where Σ and p_1, \dots, p_n are as in (1)–(2), U, V are the unknown functions and $S \in \mathbb{R}$, $Q > 0$ are given constants. They prove the existence of at least one solution for (4)–(5); furthermore, they derive an asymptotic behavior as $Q \rightarrow +\infty$ *analogous* to that of system (1)–(2).

With this motivation, we consider (distributional) solutions (\tilde{u}, v) for the system:

$$(6) \quad -\Delta \tilde{u} = \varepsilon^{-1}(v - f(e^{\tilde{u}})) - 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{p_j} \quad \text{on } \Sigma$$

$$(7) \quad -\Delta v = \varepsilon^{-1} \left[f'(e^{\tilde{u}})e^{\tilde{u}}(s - v) - \varepsilon^{-1}(v - f(e^{\tilde{u}})) \right] \quad \text{on } \Sigma.$$

Here Σ and p_1, \dots, p_n are as in (1)–(2), $f = f(t)$, $t \geq 0$ is smooth and *strictly increasing*, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $f(0) < s < \sup_{t>0} f(t)$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\text{vol}\Sigma = 1$.

Clearly, when $f(t) = t$ and $s = 1$, system (6)–(7) reduces to (1)–(2). On the other hand, setting $v := V - S$, $s := -S$, $\varepsilon^{-1} := 2Q$, system (6)–(7) reduces to system (4)–(5) with f defined by $f(t) = (t - 1)/(t + 1)$.

By a formal analysis of (6)–(7) we expect that, up to subsequences, (\tilde{u}, v) should converge to $(\tilde{u}_\infty, f(e^{\tilde{u}_\infty}))$, where \tilde{u}_∞ is a solutions for the equation for the equation:

$$(8) \quad -\Delta \tilde{u}_\infty = f'(e^{\tilde{u}_\infty})e^{\tilde{u}_\infty}(s - f(e^{\tilde{u}_\infty})) - 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{p_j} \quad \text{on } \Sigma.$$

Our main result states that this is indeed the case, with respect to any relevant norm:

Theorem 1.3 ([12]). *Let (\tilde{u}, v) be (distributional) solutions to (6)–(7), with $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. There exists a (distributional) solution \tilde{u}_∞ to (8) such that a subsequence, still denoted (\tilde{u}, v) , satisfies:*

$$(e^{\tilde{u}}, v) \rightarrow (e^{\tilde{u}_\infty}, f(e^{\tilde{u}_\infty})) \quad \text{in } C^h(\Sigma) \times C^h(\Sigma), \quad \forall h \geq 0.$$

In the rest of this note we shall outline the proof of Theorem 1.3. The detailed proof is contained in [12], although some arguments are proved here in a simpler form. Henceforth we denote by $C > 0$ a general constant independent of ε , which may vary from line to line. Unless otherwise specified, all equations are defined on Σ and all integrals are taken over Σ with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In order to work in suitable Sobolev spaces, it is standard (see [14]) to define a “Green’s function” u_0 , solution for the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u_0 &= 4\pi \left(n - \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{p_j} \right) \quad \text{on } \Sigma \\ \int_{\Sigma} u_0 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

(see [1] for the unique existence of u_0). Setting $\tilde{u} = u_0 + u$, we obtain the equivalent system for $(u, v) \in H^1(\Sigma) \times H^1(\Sigma)$:

$$(9) \quad -\Delta u = \varepsilon^{-1} (v - f(e^{u_0+u})) - 4\pi n \quad \text{on } \Sigma$$

$$(10) \quad -\Delta v = \varepsilon^{-1} [f'(e^{u_0+u})e^{u_0+u}(s - v) - \varepsilon^{-1} (v - f(e^{u_0+u}))] \quad \text{on } \Sigma,$$

where e^{u_0} is *smooth*.

The proof is obtained by a priori estimates and an inductive argument. It will be convenient to introduce the spaces $X^k := H^k \cap L^\infty$. By the following inequality, which follows from the well-known Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (see e.g. [10]):

$$(11) \quad \|D^j u\|_{L^{2k/j}} \leq C \|D^k u\|_{L^2}^{j/k} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{1-j/k} \quad \forall u \in C^\infty(\Sigma),$$

X^k is a Banach algebra for every $k \geq 0$, i.e.,

$$\|u_1 u_2\|_{X^k} \leq C \|u_1\|_{X^k} \|u_2\|_{X^k}.$$

It will also be convenient to set

$$w := \varepsilon^{-1}(v - f(e^{u_0+u}))$$

and to consider w as a third unknown function. Then the triple (u, v, w) satisfies a system of the following simple form:

$$(12) \quad -\Delta u = w - 4\pi n$$

$$(13) \quad -\varepsilon^2 \Delta v + [1 + \varepsilon c(x, u)]v = F_\varepsilon(x, u)$$

$$(14) \quad -\varepsilon^2 \Delta w + [1 + \varepsilon c(x, u)]w = G_\varepsilon(x, u, v, \nabla u)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c(x, u) &= f'(e^{u_0+u})e^{u_0+u} \\ F_\varepsilon(x, u) &= f(e^{u_0+u}) + s\varepsilon f'(e^{u_0+u})e^{u_0+u} \\ G_\varepsilon(x, u, v, \nabla u) &= f'(e^{u_0+u})e^{u_0+u}(s - v) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon (f''(e^{u_0+u})e^{u_0+u} + f'(e^{u_0+u})) e^{u_0+u} |\nabla(u_0 + u)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, using (11), we obtain:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $F \in C^\infty(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R})$, $G \in C^\infty(\Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$. Then for all $k \geq 0$ there exists constants $C_k = C_k(\|u\|_{L^\infty})$, $C'_k = C'_k(\|u\|_{L^\infty}, \|v\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty})$, such that:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(x, u)\|_{X^k} &\leq C_k(1 + \|u\|_{X^k}^k) \\ \|G(x, u, v, \nabla u)\|_{X^{k-1}} &\leq C'_k(1 + \|u\|_{X^k}^{k-1} + \|v\|_{X^{k-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

The basis for the induction is included in the following

Proposition 2.1. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, such that:*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \|u\|_{X^1} + \|v\|_{X^1} + \|w\|_{X^0} \leq C \\ (ii) \quad & \|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \\ (iii) \quad & \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove Proposition 2.1 we need some preliminary estimates.

Lemma 2.2. *The following estimates hold, pointwise on Σ :*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & f(0) \leq f(e^{\bar{u}}) \leq s \\ (ii) \quad & f(0) \leq v \leq s. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By maximum principle. The increasing monotonicity of f is essential here. \square

As a consequence of Lemma 2.2, the nonlinearity f may be *truncated*. Therefore in what follows, without loss of generality, we assume that:

$$(15) \quad \sup_{t>0} \{|f(t)| + |f'(t)| + |f''(t)|\} \leq C.$$

The next identity is the main step in deriving the H^1 -estimate for v and the L^2 -estimate for w :

Lemma 2.3. *The following identity holds:*

$$(16) \quad \int |\nabla v|^2 + \int w^2 = \int (s - v) \left(f''(e^{\tilde{u}}) e^{\tilde{u}} + f'(e^{\tilde{u}}) \right) e^{\tilde{u}} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2.$$

Proof. We compute:

$$\Delta f(e^{\tilde{u}}) = \left(f''(e^{\tilde{u}}) e^{\tilde{u}} + f'(e^{\tilde{u}}) \right) e^{\tilde{u}} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 + f'(e^{\tilde{u}}) e^{\tilde{u}} \Delta \tilde{u}.$$

Therefore $f(e^{\tilde{u}})$ satisfies the equation:

$$(17) \quad -\Delta f(e^{\tilde{u}}) + \varepsilon^{-1} f'(e^{\tilde{u}}) e^{\tilde{u}} f(e^{\tilde{u}}) = \varepsilon^{-1} f'(e^{\tilde{u}}) e^{\tilde{u}} v - \left(f''(e^{\tilde{u}}) e^{\tilde{u}} + f'(e^{\tilde{u}}) \right) e^{\tilde{u}} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2.$$

Integrating (17), we obtain

$$(18) \quad \varepsilon^{-1} \int f'(e^{\tilde{u}}) e^{\tilde{u}} (v - f(e^{\tilde{u}})) = \int \left(f''(e^{\tilde{u}}) e^{\tilde{u}} + f'(e^{\tilde{u}}) \right) e^{\tilde{u}} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2$$

Now we multiply (7) by $v - f(e^{\tilde{u}})$ and integrate to obtain:

$$\int -\Delta v (v - f(e^{\tilde{u}})) = \varepsilon^{-1} \int f'(e^{\tilde{u}}) e^{\tilde{u}} (s - v) (v - f(e^{\tilde{u}})) - \varepsilon^{-2} \int (v - f(e^{\tilde{u}}))^2.$$

Integrating by parts and using (17) we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \int -\Delta v (v - f(e^{\tilde{u}})) &= \int |\nabla v|^2 + \int v \Delta f(e^{\tilde{u}}) \\ &= \int |\nabla v|^2 - \varepsilon^{-1} \int v f'(e^{\tilde{u}}) e^{\tilde{u}} (v - f(e^{\tilde{u}})) + \int v \left(f''(e^{\tilde{u}}) e^{\tilde{u}} + f'(e^{\tilde{u}}) \right) e^{\tilde{u}} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Equating left hand sides in the last two identities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\nabla v|^2 + \varepsilon^{-2} \int (v - f(e^{\tilde{u}}))^2 + \int v \left(f''(e^{\tilde{u}}) e^{\tilde{u}} + f'(e^{\tilde{u}}) \right) e^{\tilde{u}} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 \\ = s \varepsilon^{-1} \int f'(e^{\tilde{u}}) e^{\tilde{u}} (v - f(e^{\tilde{u}})), \end{aligned}$$

and thus identity (16) is established. \square

We shall need some a priori estimates for solutions to

$$(19) \quad -\varepsilon^2 \Delta u + (1 + \varepsilon c) u = f.$$

Indeed, both (13) and (14) are of the form (19).

Lemma 2.4. *Let $c, f \in X^k$ and suppose that u satisfies: (19). For every $k \geq 0$ there exist $\varepsilon_k > 0$, $C_k > 0$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{X^k} \leq C_k \|f\|_{X^k},$$

for all $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_k$.

Proof. The proof is an easy consequence of the following fact Let G_ε be the Green's function for

$$-\varepsilon \Delta_x G_\varepsilon + G_\varepsilon = \delta_y \quad \text{on } \Sigma.$$

Then $G_\varepsilon(x, y) \rightarrow \delta_y$ weakly in the sense of measures. Note that since the operator $-\varepsilon \Delta + 1$ is coercive, the Green's function G_ε is uniquely defined on Σ . By the maximum principle, $G_\varepsilon > 0$ on Σ . Integrating over Σ , we find $\int G_\varepsilon = \int |G_\varepsilon| = 1$. Therefore, there exists a Radon measure μ such that $G_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mu$ weakly in the sense of measures. For any $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ we have:

$$\varepsilon \int -\Delta G_\varepsilon \varphi + \int G_\varepsilon \varphi = \varphi(y).$$

Taking limits, we find $\int \varphi d\mu = \varphi(y)$ and the statement of the lemma is established. \square

Now we can provide the

Proof of Proposition 2.1. We begin by establishing

$$(20) \quad \int e^{\tilde{u}} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 \leq C.$$

Proof of the Claim. Multiplying equation (6) by $e^{\tilde{u}}$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \int e^{\tilde{u}} (v - f(e^{\tilde{u}})) = \int e^{\tilde{u}} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 \geq 0.$$

By the pointwise estimates in Lemma 2.2, it follows that:

$$(21) \quad \varepsilon \int e^{\tilde{u}} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 \leq C.$$

Multiplying (7) by $e^{\tilde{u}}$ and integrating, we find

$$(22) \quad \varepsilon^{-1} \int e^{\tilde{u}} (v - f(e^{\tilde{u}})) = \int e^{2\tilde{u}} f'(e^{\tilde{u}}) (s - v) + \varepsilon \int e^{\tilde{u}} \Delta v.$$

Integration by parts yields:

$$\varepsilon \int e^{\tilde{u}} \Delta v = - \int v e^{\tilde{u}} (v - f(e^{\tilde{u}})) + \varepsilon \int v e^{\tilde{u}} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2.$$

Hence, by the pointwise estimates as in Lemma 2.2, and taking into account (21), we conclude that

$$\varepsilon \left| \int e^{\tilde{u}} \Delta v \right| \leq C.$$

Inserting into (22), recalling Lemma 2.2, we derive that

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \int e^{\tilde{u}}(v - f(e^{\tilde{u}})) \leq C,$$

and thus it follows that

$$\int e^{\tilde{u}} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 = \varepsilon^{-1} \int e^{\tilde{u}}(v - f(e^{\tilde{u}})) \leq C.$$

(20) is established.

Lemma 2.2 readily implies $\|e^{\tilde{u}}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$ and $\|v\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$. In order to obtain the H^1 -estimate for $e^{\tilde{u}}$, it suffices to observe that by Lemma 2.2-(i) and by (20) we have:

$$\int |\nabla e^{\tilde{u}}|^2 = \int e^{2\tilde{u}} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 \leq C \int e^{\tilde{u}} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 \leq C.$$

Now we estimate $\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\varepsilon^{-1}(v - f(e^{\tilde{u}}))\|_{L^2}$. Using identity (16), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\nabla v|^2 + \int w^2 &\leq \|s - v\|_\infty \|f''(e^{\tilde{u}})e^{\tilde{u}} + f'(\tilde{u})\|_\infty \int e^{\tilde{u}} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 \\ &\leq C \int e^{\tilde{u}} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

where we again used Lemma 2.2 and (20) in order to derive the last step. Proof of (i). Multiplying (9) by $u - \int u$ and integrating, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\nabla u|^2 &= q \int (v - f(e^{\tilde{u}}))(u - \int u) \\ &\leq \|q(v - f(e^{\tilde{u}}))\|_2 \|u - \int u\|_2 \leq C \|\nabla u\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows by Lemma 2.2 and by the Poincaré inequality. Hence $\|\nabla u\|_2 \leq C$. By Lemma 2.2-(ii), we have that $e^{\tilde{u}} \leq C$, and thus we only have to show that $\int u \geq -C$. To this end, we first observe that integrating (9) and (10) we obtain:

$$\int f'(e^{u_0+u})e^{u_0+u}(s-v) = q \int (v - f(e^{u_0+u})) = 4\pi n.$$

On the other hand, we have in a straightforward manner:

$$\int f'(e^{u_0+u})e^{u_0+u}(s-v) \leq C \int e^{u_0+u} \leq C e^{\int u} \|e^{u_0}\|_\infty \int e^{u-f u} \leq C \int e^{u-f u}.$$

Hence, recalling the Moser-Trudinger inequality (see [1]) and the estimate for $\|\nabla u\|_2$, we conclude that

$$4\pi n \leq C e^{\int u} \int e^{u-f u} \leq C e^{\int u} e^{\gamma \int |\nabla u|^2} \leq C e^{\int u},$$

which establishes (i). Proof of (ii). Since $\|w\|_{L^2} \leq C$, by (i) and elliptic regularity we obtain $\|u\|_{H^2} \leq C$. Then Sobolev embeddings yield $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p} \leq C$, for any $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and $\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$, which establishes (ii). Proof of (iii). By (14), $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p} \leq C$ and Lemma 2.4 imply that $\|w\|_{L^p} \leq C$, for any $1 \leq p < +\infty$. Then (12) and Sobolev embeddings yield $\|u\|_{W^{2,p}} \leq C$, for any $1 \leq p < +\infty$. For $p > 2$, the Sobolev embeddings yield (iii). \square

Proposition 2.2. *For all $k \geq 0$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ (possibly depending on k) such that:*

$$\|\tilde{u} - u_0\|_{H^k} + \|v\|_{H^k} \leq C.$$

Proof of Proposition 2.2. We argue by induction on $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

CLAIM: Suppose:

$$\|u\|_{X^k} + \|v\|_{X^k} + \|w\|_{X^{k-1}} \leq C_k.$$

Then:

$$\|u\|_{X^{k+1}} + \|v\|_{X^{k+1}} + \|w\|_{X^k} \leq C_{k+1}.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{X^{k-1}} \leq C &\Rightarrow \|u\|_{X^{k+1}} \leq C && \text{by (12) and standard elliptic regularity} \\ &\Rightarrow \|v\|_{X^{k+1}} \leq C && \text{by (13), Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4} \\ &\Rightarrow \|w\|_{X^k} \leq C && \text{by (14), Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4.} \end{aligned}$$

Now Proposition 2.1, the Claim and a standard induction argument conclude the proof. \square

Finally, we can prove our main result:

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let (u, v) be solutions to system (9)–(10), with $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. By the a priori estimates as stated in Proposition 2.2 and by standard compactness arguments, there exist u_∞, v_∞ such that up to subsequences $u \rightarrow u_\infty$ and $v \rightarrow v_\infty$ in C^h , for all $h \geq 0$. We write (9) in the form:

$$v = f(e^{u_0+u}) + \varepsilon(-\Delta u + 4\pi n).$$

Taking limits, we find $v_\infty = f(e^{u_0+u_\infty})$. Furthermore, taking limits in (10), we obtain

$$\varepsilon^{-1}(v - f(e^{u_0+u})) \rightarrow f'(e^{u_0+u_\infty})e^{u_0+u_\infty}(s - f(e^{u_0+u_\infty})),$$

where the convergence holds in C^h , for any $h \geq 0$. Consequently, taking limits in (9), we find that u_∞ satisfies:

$$(23) \quad -\Delta u_\infty = f'(e^{u_0+u_\infty})e^{u_0+u_\infty}(s - f(e^{u_0+u_\infty})) - 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{p_j}.$$

Setting $\tilde{u}_\infty = u_0 + u_\infty$, we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.3. \square

Acknowledgement

I should like to thank the Organizers of the ICM2002 Satellite Conference on Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Taiyuan, August 14–18, as well as Professor Yanyan Li, for the invitation.

References

- [1] Th. Aubin, *Nonlinear Analysis on Manifolds: Monge-Ampère Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1982
- [2] D. Chae and N. Kim, Vortex Condensates in the Relativistic Self-Dual Maxwell-Chern-Simons-Higgs System, preprint
- [3] D. Chae and H.S. Nam, On the Condensate Multivortex Solutions of the Self-Dual Maxwell-Chern-Simons $CP(1)$ Model, *Ann. H. Poincaré* **2** (2001), 887–906
- [4] K. Kimm, K. Lee and T. Lee, Anyonic Bogomol’nyi Solitons in a Gauged $O(3)$ Sigma Model, *Phys. Rev. D* **53** (1996), 4436–4440
- [5] G. Dunne, *Self-Dual Chern-Simons Theories*, Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. M36, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1995
- [6] J. Hong, Y. Kim and P.Y. Pac, Multivortex solutions of the Abelian Chern-Simons theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** (1990), 2230–2233
- [7] R. Jackiw and E. Weinberg, Self-dual Chern–Simons vortices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** (1990), 2234–2237
- [8] A. Jaffe, C. Taubes, *Vortices and Monopoles*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1980
- [9] C. Lee, K. Lee and H. Min, Self-Dual Maxwell Chern-Simons Solitons, *Phys. Lett. B*, **252** (1990), 79–83
- [10] L. Nirenberg, On elliptic partial differential equations, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa*, **13** (1959), 116–162
- [11] T. Ricciardi, Asymptotics for Maxwell-Chern-Simons multivortices, *Nonlin. Anal. T.M.A.* **50** No. 8 (2002), 1093–1106.
- [12] T. Ricciardi, On a nonlinear elliptic system from Maxwell-Chern-Simons vortex theory, preprint.
- [13] T. Ricciardi and G. Tarantello, Vortices in the Maxwell-Chern-Simons Theory, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **53** no. 7 (2000), 811–851
- [14] Y. Yang, *Solitons in field theory and nonlinear analysis*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001